



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,753	04/25/2001	Outi Aho	460-010296-US(PAR)	8264
759	90 05/04/2005		EXAMINER	
Clarence A. Green			NGUYEN, TRONG NHAN P	
Perman & Green, LLP 425 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfield, CT 06430			2152	

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	09/842,753	AHO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jack P. Nguyen	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/1.	/04 .		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i>	•	• •	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		. •
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u></u>

Art Unit: 2152

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 11/1/04. Claims 1-39 are being examined.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 11/1/04 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant asserts on page 13 that Gleeson does not teach or suggest, "...protocol conversions but reduction in the number of packets..." However, Gleeson does explicitly disclose using a gateway switch (112, fig. 1) to translate or convert protocol packets from wireless devices (104, 106, fig. 1, col. 5, line 67) into formats compatible with clients (114, 124, fig. 1) connected on a local area network 'LAN' over the wide area network 'WAN' (col. 5, lines 66 – col. 6, lines 11; col. 10, lines 40-51; packets are translated from wireless protocol format denoted as 'X' into protocol 'X.25' format that is compatible with LAN-based devices).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Gleeson et al, 5,627,829, (hereafter Gleeson).

As per claim 1, Gleeson teaches a method for transmitting information between applications executed in a first and a second data transmission device in a data transmission system (col. 6, lines 40-42), the method comprising: using a data transmission protocol in the information transmission performing one or more protocol conversions in the protocol stack for the information to be transmitted (col. 10, lines 27-30, 40-46; protocols are converted into compatible formats that can be transmitted between application devices), said protocol stack comprising at least an application layer and a physical layer (200, 208, figure 2; protocol stack comprises plurality of layers such as application, physical, etc.); and transmitting messages between the first data transmission device and the second transmission device, the transmitting comprising producing messages in the application layer from the information to be transmitted (col. 6, lines 47-56; message data are generated in the application layer to be transmitted over the network).

Claims 9 and 17 are rejected by similar rationale as claim 1.

As per claims 2-3 and 10-11, Gleeson teaches transmitting at least two types of components in the messages, wherein the messages contain information on the type of the message transmitted in the message (1530, 1532, fig. 15; col. 16, lines 15-17; type and sequence number subfields are components of the data packet being transmitted between the devices); a header field, on the basis of which the type of the message is

Application/Control Number: 09/842,753

Art Unit: 2152

determined (col. 15, line 65 – col. 16, line 2; data packet includes a header field that includes the type subfield that defines the type of the packet).

As per claims 5 and 13, Gleeson teaches providing messages with a data field to transmit information produced in the application (1408, fig. 14a, col. 15, line 35; data field is a component of the data packet).

As per claims 6 and 14, Gleeson teaches using the protocol stack at least a session layer between the application layer and the physical layer (600, 604, 614, fig. 6; session layer is between the application and physical layers in the protocol stack), in which the protocol used therein contains data frames, containing at least a header field and a data field (data packet contains header and data fields), wherein the method further comprises transferring messages produced in the application layer to the data field of the data frames of the session layer (col. 6, lines 47-56; col. 15, lines 26-36; message data is generated in the application layer; message data is transferred to the data field of the data packet to be transmitted to another device).

As per claims 7 and 15, Gleeson teaches using WAP system at least as the data transmission system (col. 10, lines 27-30; radio modem protocol 'RM' is functionally equivalent to wireless application protocol 'WAP').

As per claims 8 and 16, Gleeson teaches using the Internet data transmission network at least partly used as the data transmission system (col. 11, lines 27-29; transmission control protocol/Internet protocol 'TCP/IP' allows data to be routed over the Internet).

Application/Control Number: 09/842,753 Page 5

Art Unit: 2152

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a

person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived

by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Gleeson and in view of Bhagwat et al, 6,721,805 (hereafter Bhagwat).

As per claims 4 and 12, Gleeson does not explicitly teach dividing said header

field at least into first and second different parts, wherein the first part is used in all

messages and the second part is used, if necessary, in the transmission of the type-

specific information of the message transmitted in the message.

Bhagwat teaches a header field contains plurality of subfields (col. 7, lines 49-57)

and subfields can vary depending on the needs of the user (col. 8, lines 19-23). Hence,

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify and combine

the teachings of Gleeson and Bhagwat to use the desired subfields when required

depending on the type of data being transmitted in order to save bandwidth and

increase the efficiency of data transmission over the networks.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack P. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3945. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2152

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jpn

Dung C. Dinh Primary Examiner